Modeling land change using one or two time points based calibration *A comparison of factors*

María Teresa Camacho Olmedo

Departamento de Análisis Geográfico Regional y Geografía Física, Universidad de Granada, Spain <u>camacho@ugr.es</u>



UNIVERSIDAD

DE GRANADA

GISTAM 2017. 27-28 April, 2017 - Porto Geomatic approaches for modelling land change scenarios - GAMOLCS 2017



Context

Research Project SIGEOMOD_2020 BIA2013-43462-P (2014-2017), funded by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness and by the Regional European Fund FEDER <u>http://geofireg.ugr.es/sigeomod/</u>

Previous research and published papers based on:

- The methodological comparison of pattern-based models
- The accuracy assessment of land change models
- One or two time points based models: suitability versus transition potential, their hard outputs assessment, etc.

Introduction

- Changes over time and space are considered differently in models
- A land change model can be calibrated with the state at **one time point** or with the difference **between two time points**
- These approaches involve modeling LUC states or LUC transitions
- In calibration stage, factors can be created with or without the LUC locations as reference maps



Objective

Comparing

- One / two time points based calibration
- Both for two consecutive calibration periods

We obtained and compared factors in order to answer

- how the choice of LUC **reference maps** influences the factors?
- how these factors represent the change patterns in the two calibration based models and in the two calibration periods?
- how the behavior is specific to the different LUC categories or variables?



Study area and data sets

- 2,300 square kilometers in the province of Murcia (Southern Spain).
- **11 explanatory variables:** topographic variables, protected areas, territorial accessibility (roads diversity and quality), distance to roads and distance to hydrographic network
- 3 Corine Land Cover maps (CoORdination of INformation of the Environment, Instituto Geográfico Nacional, Spain) for dates 1990, 2000, 2006 and for 4 LUC categories





Software

TerrSet software (Clark Labs, 2016)

Methods

Calibration based models and periods

One / two time points based calibration Both for two consecutive calibration periods

Obtaining factors by evidence likelihood transformation

Relative frequency of categories of variables

Reference maps (binary LUC category/transitions)

Comparing factors by Pearson correlation index





for 4 LUC categories/transitions



Obtaining factors Example of irrigated crops LUC category / transitions

4 reference maps



4 factors for 9 variables

Example of elevation (front) and slope (behind) First calibration period Second calibration period

Second calibration period





0.02 0.03 0.04 0.05 0.06 0.07 0.08 0.09 0.10 0.11 0.12 0.13 0.14

2000-2006 0.002 0.004 0.006 0.008 0.010 0.012 0.014 0.017 0.019 0.021 0.023 0.025 0.027 0.029 0.031



Comparing factors Pearson correlation index

4 combinations

Reference maps (binary LUC category/transition)	First calibration period	Second calibration period	4 comparisons
One time point	2000 (_{t1}) LUC state	2006 (_{t2}) LUC state	4 factors
Two time points	1990 (_{t0}) – 2000 (_{t1}) LUC transitions	2000 (_{t1}) – 2006 (_{t2}) LUC transitions	



period

period

Second calibration

period

Modeling land change using one or two time points based calibration A comparison of factors María Teresa Camacho Olmedo **GAMOLCS 2017** SIGEOMOD 2020 BIA2013-43462-P

Comparing factors Pearson correlation



0.79

0.86

0.93 1.00



Comparing reference maps surfaces



Surface area (ha) of reference maps for the different LUC categories



Conclusions

- The **one time point based calibration**, "total past trend", involve modeling LUC states, that include all past changes. It is is more likely to capture historic patterns of change and simulations over longer time. It could be accurate at modeling categories in which transitions affect a proportionally small area.
- The **two time point based calibration**, "two past trend", involve modeling LUC transitions, that considers past changes that occurred during a recent period. It is more likely to capture these recent patterns of change and simulation over shorter periods. It could be statistically representative when they correspond to a proportionally larger area.
- Depending on multiple parameters, including form and intensity of dynamics, the two approaches may be complementary.
- A multi-temporal approach, integrating data about more than two training dates, could resolve potential errors resulting from only considering two past dates or by considering the total past.



References

- ✓ Clark Labs, 2016. Available from: http://www.clarklabs.org/
- ✓ NRC, 2013. Advancing Land Change Modeling: Opportunities and Research Requirements. Committee on Needs and Research Requirements for Land Change, Modeling; Geographical Sciences Committee; Board on Earth Sciences, and Resources; Division on Earth and Life Studies, National Research Council, Washington, USA.
- ✓ Mas, J.F., Kolb, M, Paegelow, M., Camacho Olmedo, M.T., Houet, T., 2014. Inductive patternbased land use / cover change models: A comparison of four software packages. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 51(2014): 94–111.
- ✓ Paegelow M., Camacho Olmedo, M.T., (eds.) 2008. Modelling environmental dynamics. Advances in geomatics solutions. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- ✓ Pérez-Vega, A., Mas, J.F., Ligmann-Zielinska, A., 2012. Comparing two approaches to land use/cover change modeling and their implications for the assessment of biodiversity loss in a deciduous tropical forest. *Environmental Modelling & Software* 29 (1):11–23.
- ✓ Pontius, R.G., Jr., Malanson, J., 2005. Comparison of the structure and accuracy of two land change models. *International Journal of Geographical Information Science* 19:243–265.



DEGRANADA

Modeling land change using one or two time points based calibration A comparison of factors María Teresa Camacho Olmedo GAMOLCS 2017 SIGEOMOD_2020 BIA2013-43462-P

María Teresa Camacho Olmedo

Departamento de Análisis Geográfico Regional y Geografía Física, Universidad de Granada, Spain <u>camacho@ugr.es</u>



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Research Project **SIGEOMOD_2020** BIA2013-43462-P (2014-2017), funded by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness and by the Regional European Fund FEDER http://geofireg.ugr.es/sigeomod/